

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

an

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,920	02/12/2001	Gregory Hagan Moulton	UND007	9074
7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
William J. Kubida, Esq.			JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
Hogan & Hartson, LLP Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1200 17th Street Denver, CO 80202			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>\$</i> \$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/781,920	MOULTON, GREGORY HAGAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Y. Jung	2134			
The MAILIN Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s; - If NO period for reply within the control of t	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION y be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 if from the mailing date of this communication. pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re is specified above, the maximum statutory perior the set or extended period for reply will, by statu	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH I. .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE ing date of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 28	February 2005.				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	,—					
closed in ac	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claim	S					
4a) Of the all 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	46 is/are pending in the application bove claim(s) is/are withdreful is/are allowed. 46 is/are rejected. 46 is/are objected to. 47 are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification	ation is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant ma	y not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
·	• • • •	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob Examiner. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119					
a) All b) Certifi 2. Certifi 3. Copie applic	Some * c) None of: ied copies of the priority documer ied copies of the priority documer es of the certified copies of the pri cation from the International Bure	nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 te	Paper No(s)/Mail D 8) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal I 6) ☐ Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-46 are presented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant gave a new definition of lexicon. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., features of lexicon noted in the Remarks section of the outstanding Amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

CLAIM REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,920 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

Applicant explicitly stated that the amended claims do not change the scope of the claims. Thus, claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2134

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Greg Morse whose telephone number is (571) 272-3838.

David Jung

Page 5

Patent Examiner

5/16/05

•

•